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# Technical Topic – Data Analytics Specialism

## Assignment Submission Topic

The chosen topic for this research bibliography assignment is;

*Techniques to improve the Machine Learning workflow processes when attempting to detect credit card fraud with highly imbalanced datasets.*

## High Level Description of Journal / Articles in this Report

Section 2 of this assignment report provides the more detailed overview of the articles/journals selected and reviewed for this particular topic of Machine Learning techniques in Credit Card Fraud detection.

Below is a high-level list of the subjects covered in the selected articles/journals;

* ML algorithm selection for unbalanced credit card fraud datasets. (Section 2.1)
* Feature Engineering of credit card fraud datasets. (Section 2.2)
* The next smart idea in cc fraud detection. (Section 2.3)
* A really clever idea in cc fraud detection. (Section 2.4).
* Super smart ideas in cc fraud detection. (Section 2.5)

# Bibliography of Sources

## Anomaly Detection Algorithms for Credit Card Fraud Detection

***Reference***

Ceronmani Sharmila, V., R., K., R., S., D., S., & R., H. (2019). Credit Card Fraud Detection Using Anomaly Techniques. *2019 1St International Conference On Innovations In Information And Communication Technology (ICIICT)*, *1*(1), 1-4. doi: 10.1109/iciict1.2019.8741421

***Aim***

To demonstrate the efficiencies of Local Outlier Factor (LoF) and Isolation Forest (IF) algorithms in detecting credit card fraud. The paper describes that instances of credit card fraud ‘*take place every once in a while’* and that these anomaly detection algorithms can be shown to be less computationally expensive than more ‘generic’ algorithms.

***Methods***

A historical (Kaggle) dataset of 27K credit card transaction is loaded into an ML experiment run in Jupyter Notebooks, with all required processing libraries preloaded.

The data is split between test and training sets, in line with standard ML workflow processes, and applied in sequence through the unsupervised LoF and IF algorithms. These algorithms provide and aggregate framework to identify the ‘anomalies’, which are the transactions marked as fraudulent.

Data visualisation techniques are used to display the key features in the dataset, and their relevance to the anomaly scoring.

***Conclusions***

This conference article declares that the LoF and IF used by the authors is quicker and more efficient that general Decision Tree approaches and is also more understandable than Neural Network techniques.

***Gaps in the Research:***

The range of other algorithms against which LoF and IF are compared is limited to a brief discussion on Decision Trees and Neural Networks.

The paper does not contain any tabular data with which to strengthen the argument that LoF and IF algorithms are faster at detecting fraud.

***Critique***

There is important reference in the paper than speed can be important in credit card fraud detection, particularly with real-time requirements. The implementation of the aggregation LoF and IF algorithms is well thought out and the logic sound.

However, although the visualisations on feature importance are interesting, what is missing is tabular data on actual model accuracy, including the False Negative rate, and benchmark on speeds against other algorithmic approaches.

## Feature Engineering for Credit Card Fraud Datasets

***Reference***

Lima, R. and Pereira, A., 2017. Feature Selection Approaches to Fraud Detection in e-Payment Systems. *Lecture Notes in Business Information Processing*, [online] pp.111-126. Available at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/313731885\_Feature\_Selection\_Approaches\_to\_Fraud\_Detection\_in\_e-Payment\_Systems> [Accessed 11 September 2020].

***Aim***

To determine ..

***Methods***

Stuff..

***Conclusions***

….

***Gaps in the Research:***

…

***Critique***

...

## Just Being Really Clever with Credit Card Fraud Detection

***Reference***

Bhattacharyya, S., Jha, S., Tharakunnel, K. and Westland, J., 2011. Data mining for credit card fraud: A comparative study. *Decision Support Systems*, 50(3), pp.602-613.

***Aim***

To determine ..

***Methods***

Iterative ML workflow ..

***Conclusions***

….

***Gaps in the Research:***

…

***Critique***

...

## Just Being Clever with Credit Card Fraud Detection

***Reference***

Lucas, Y., Portier, P., Laporte, L., He, L., Caelen, O., Granitzer, M. and Calabretto, S., 2019. *Towards Automated Feature Engineering For Credit Card Fraud Detection Using Multi-Perspective Hmms*. 1st ed. [ebook] Lyon: Research Gate, pp.4-6. Available at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/335600419\_Towards\_automated\_feature\_engineering\_for\_credit\_card\_fraud\_detection\_using\_multi-perspective\_HMMs> [Accessed 1 September 2020].

***Aim***

To determine..

***Methods***

Iterative ML ..

***Conclusions***

….

***Gaps in the Research:***

…

***Critique***

...

## I Mean Really Clever with Credit Card Fraud Detection

***Reference***

Mahmoudi, N. and Duman, E., 2015. Detecting credit card fraud by Modified Fisher Discriminant Analysis. *Expert Systems with Applications*, [online] 42(5), pp.2510-2516. Available at: <https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/Detecting-credit-card-fraud-by-Modified-Fisher-Mahmoudi-Duman/1cfb2a0a0f11dab8da5dc38d51a6e816f04ac8e3#paper-header> [Accessed 11 September 2020].

***Aim***

To determine ..

***Methods***

Iterative ML workflow ..

***Conclusions***

….

***Gaps in the Research:***

…

***Critique***

...

# CA Guidance (remove before submission)

1. Use **paraphrased** sentences. Do not copy and paste directly from the articles. See a partial example below, with the paper from the first lecture:

**Topic: Examining cyclist behaviour in urban centres**

Reference: **Richardson, M. & Caulfield, B. (2015). Investigating traffic light violations by cyclists in Dublin City Centre. *Accident Analysis and Prevention, 84,* 65-73. doi:10.1016/j.aap.2015.08.011**

Aim: **To examine red light running of cyclists in Dublin City.**

Methods: **An observational survey and an online questionnaire.**

Conclusions: **61.9% of cyclists break the lights: 97.8% of cycle track users (pedestrian green phase); 18.6% of bicycle lane users (motorist phase). The most significant predictors were infrastructure type (cycle track) and cyclist gender (male).**

Gaps in the Research: **Need to account for difficulties people have in reporting incidents while cycling**

Critique: **The Dublin cycle network primarily consists of on-road cycle lanes. The surveys focussed on an even number of junctions with cycle lanes and cycle tracks. The overall rate of infringement is not fairly represented: in reality, it would be lower… (…)**

9 Please observe an overall **maximum of 1500 words** in the assignment.